



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,947	12/22/2005	Harumi Minekawa	Q91103	7981
23373	7590	04/16/2007	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			BABIC, CHRISTOPHER M	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			1637	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,947	MINEKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher M. Babic	1637

All Participants:

(1) Christopher M. Babic.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(2) William Simmons.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 April 2007

Time: 3:00PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed Applicant's representative that the sequences elected in the response dated March 19, 2007 were not contained within the elected claims. Applicant's representative informed the Examiner that a subsequent amendment would be filed.



KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

